1	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney KYLE REARDON		
2			
3	Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 554-2700		
5	(916) 554-2900 FAX		
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED S	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO. 2:14-CR-0107 TLN	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	) STIPULATION AND ORDER	
14	JOSHUA LANDON KLIPP,	) CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE ) FROM JUNE 12, 2014, TO JULY 31, ) 2014	
15	Defendant.	) 2014	
16			
17			
18	STIPULATION		
19	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and		
20	defendant, by and through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
21	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 12, 2014.		
22	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status		
23	conference until July 31, 2014, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between June 12, 2014,		
24	and July 31, 2014 under Local Code T4.		
25	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the		
26	following:		
27	a The government has renress	ented that the discovery associated with this cas	

includes a significant amount of computer evidence.

## Case 2:14-cr-00107-TLN Document 14 Filed 06/13/14 Page 2 of 4

b. Counsel for both parties are unable to devote the time necessary to review of the evidence because of other duties. In particular, Defense Counsel has been waiting for funding for an expert review of the seized computer evidence. That funding has been approved and the review will soon commence. Counsel believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- c. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- d. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., the time period of June 12, 2014 to July 31, 2014, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv), Local Code T4, because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

16 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 | ///

18 || ///

19 || ///

20 | ///

21 | ///

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

///

27 |

28

## Case 2:14-cr-00107-TLN Document 14 Filed 06/13/14 Page 3 of 4

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other		
2	provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable		
3	from the period within which a trial must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5	Dated: June 12, 2014	Respectfully Submitted,	
6 7		BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney	
	By:	/s/ Kyle Reardon	
9		KYLE REARDON Assistant U.S. Attorney	
10	Dated: June 12, 2014	/s/ Kyle Reardon for	
11		MICHAEL AYE Attorney for the Defendant	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO. 2:14-CR-0107-TLN
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE FROM JUNE 12, 2014,
JOSHUA LANDON KLIPP,	UNTIL JULY 31, 2014
Defendant.	) )
	) )

The parties' stipulation is approved and so ordered. The time beginning June 12, 2014, until July 31, 2014, is excluded from the calculation of time under the Speedy Trial Act. For the reasons contained in the parties' stipulation, this exclusion is appropriate to ensure effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); Local Code T4. The interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

Dated: June 12, 2014

Troy L. Nunley

**United States District Judge**